

WEST KENT SUNDAY FOOTBALL LEAGUE

CLUB REPORT FORM

(To be posted to the Registrations Secretary within 3 days of match)

Referee's Name Date of Match Div.....
Home Club Away Club

Players *Home/*Away (*delete as necessary)

1	8
2	9
3	10.....
4	11.....
5	Subs used.....
6
7

Please print clearly in BLOCK CAPITALS

CLUB MARKING OF REFEREES

Overall control and decision making.

The mark must reflect the referee's overall level of control, accuracy of decision making and management of and communication with players. When deciding on a mark consideration should be given to such aspects of the referee's performance as: impartiality, confidence, fitness, positioning, signalling, use of advantage, handling of major incidents.

A mark between 91-100 would be regarded as 'excellent'

A mark between 71 and 80 would represent the standard expected

Our club awards an overall mark of out of 100

When awarding a mark of 50 or less, an explanation must be provided in the box below to the League with comments which could help the referee improve future performances.

(Signed) (Secretary)

Club

Date

Guide To Marking

The mark awarded by a club must be based on the referee's overall performance. It is most important that the mark is awarded fairly and not based upon isolated incidents or previous games. The referee's performance should be determined by the table below which should act as a guide for the overall mark which should fall within the mark range for each standard of performance.

<u>Mark Range</u>	<u>Comment</u>
91-100	The referee was extremely accurate in decision making and very successfully controlled the game using management and communication skills to create an environment of fair play, adding real value to the game.
81-90	The referee was very accurate in decision making and successfully controlled the game using management and communication skills to create an environment of fair play.
71-80	The referee was accurate in decision making and successfully controlled the game well, communicating with the players, making a positive contribution towards fair play.
61-70	The referee was reasonably accurate in decision making, controlled the game quite well and communicated with the players, establishing a reasonable degree of fair play.
51-60	The referee had some shortcomings in the level of accuracy of decision making and control, with only limited success in communicating with the players resulting in variable fair play.
50 and below	The referee had significant shortcomings in the level of accuracy of decision making and control with poor communication with the players which resulted in low levels of fair play.

Notes

- Using a scale of up to 100 allows greater flexibility for clubs to distinguish between different refereeing performances more accurately.
- A mark within each mark range can be give to reflect the referee's performance e.g. a mark of 79 indicates a somewhat better performance than a mark of 71.
- **A mark between 71 and 80 represents the standard of refereeing expected.**
- When a mark of 50 or less is awarded, an explanation must be provided to the League or Competition by completing the appropriate box on the marking form. It must include comments which could help improve the referee's future performances. Even where a referee has significant shortcomings there will have been some positive aspects which should be given credit; extremely low marks (below 20) should be very rare.